

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,489	08/27/2001	James Malcolm Vignoles	01.018.01	2875
75	90 08/15/2006		EXAM	INER
Zilka-Kotab, PC			SCHUBERT, KEVIN R	
P.O. Box 721120 San Jose, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2137	
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/938,489	VIGNOLES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin Schubert	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Jules</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,9-14,21-26 and 33-37 is/are pendid 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-2,9-14,21-26,33-37 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer are considered to by the Examiner of the specific acceptance of the specific acc	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2137

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-2,9-14,21-26, and 33-37 have been considered. After careful review, Examiner believes the previous prior art rejections to have been overcome. However, a 101 issue is present.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

10

15

20

25

5

Claims 1-2,9-14,21-26, and 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. Regarding claims 1-2 and 9-12, Applicant discloses "a computer program product". The first issue is whether the claimed program product is limited to physical articles or objects to establish a statutory category of invention as a manufacture, since it's not a machine, process, or composition of matter. Absent an explicit and deliberate definition in the disclosure (which Examiner is unable to find), a program product is just the software piece and fails to include the physical article or object as the medium which establishes the statutory category. The second issue is whether the logic produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In this instance, the final result achieved is conditional. Therefore, Examiner has reviewed the final result achieved for each condition covered, both those actually recited and those covered but not recited. If any fail to be a useful, concrete, and tangible result, then the claims are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. In the instant case, Examiner believes claim 1 does not produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result in the instance when the update status of the current malware scanner matches the update status of the previous malware scanner. Based on the two issues presented above, claims 1-2 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 13-14 and 21-24 do not appear to have the first issue presented above, but like claim 1, a useful, concrete, and tangible result does not appear to exist in every instance of the conditional statements covered. Like claim 1, claim 13 does not appear to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result in the instance when the update status of the current malware scanner matches the update status

Art Unit: 2137

5

15

20

of the previous malware scanner. Based on this issue, claims 13-14 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 25-26 and 33-37 purport to be apparatus claims, but appear to be lacking an essential element under 112, 2<sup>nd</sup>, to support the preamble and make them apparatus claims. As such, they appear to be merely software (functional descriptive material), per se, and non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101 rather than an actual apparatus. Claim 25 also appears to have the same issue of claim 1 and claim 13 regarding the conditional nature of the final result achieved. Based on these two issues, claims 25-26 and 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

10 Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Schubert whose telephone number is (571) 272-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

25

EMMANUEL L. MUISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

KS